UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DALLAS MCINTOSH, B-85114 Plaintiff,

V.

Case No. 17-CV-00103-JPG-DGW

WEXFORD HEALTH SOURCES, INC., et al.
Defendants,

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE NOTICE OF APPEAL

Now COMES the Plaintiff, Dallas Mc Intosh, pro-se in the above--entitled cause, pursuant to Fed.R. App. P., 4(a)(5), and hereby moves this Hororable Court for a 30-day extension of time within which to timely perfect his appeal of the Court's judgment (at <u>Doc. 135</u>) in this motter. Plaintiff states the following in support thereof:

1) On November 14, 2018, the Court rejected the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendations, cranted the defendants' motions for summary judgment, dismissed Plaintiff's case without prejudice, and directed the Clerk of Court to enter judgment. (Doc. 134) Accordingly, the Clerk of Gurt entered the Gurt's judgment dismissing this action with-

-out prejudice. (Doc. 135)

- 2) Plaintiff did not actually receive the Court's judgment order until November 19,2018, whereupon he (1) immediately prevailed upon out--sick family members and triends to obtain a copy of the transcript of the Evidentiary Hearing that occurred on 8/15/2018, and (2) submitted a request slip to the institutional law library in order to abtain access to his excess legal material containing Plaintiff's entire file in this case. (Note: Due to institutional rules, regulations and re--strictions, inmates are only allowed to keep a limited amount of legal material in their cell, which can fit into their small legal boxes, while all other excess legal work must be stored offsite in the law library where it can only be accessed upon receiving a call-pass. At the time of which Plaintiff received the Court's order, his tile of this entire case was being stored in such off-site excess legal boxes in order to make space in his cell for other legal work which required his attention while he awaited this Court's review & decision regarding the Evidentian Hearing)
- 3) Yet, it was not until November 26, 2018, that Plaintiff finally re-ceived, by mail, the transcripts obtained and sent to him by his family; and it was not until November 30, 2018, that Plaintiff, was pursuant to a call-pass, was able to retrieve his legal file in this case from his excess legal storage, (See Exhibit A")
- 4) Now that Plaintiff is in possession of all of the aforementioned

material, he is in the process of reviewing all of the filings in cornection with the Defendants' motion for summary judgment, the Court's judgment order, and the transcript of the proceedings, in order to analyze the issues and whether there exists any meritable & appealable issues in this matter,

- 5) This will take more time than that which remains within the timeperiod fir filing a 'Notice of Appeal', due to the amount of material
 to be reviewed and the fact that Plaintiff is a pro-se litigant with
 no legal training and virtually no knowledge of the appellate process
 including haw to file on appeal, or exactly what issues are appealable, what issues are preserved, or the standard of review the issues
 appealed to the Seventh Circuit.
- 6) Furthermore, Plaintiff will need time to obtain a certified trust fund account statement for the past 6 menths in order to be able to apply to proceed in forma pauperis' in any prospective appeal, as he does not have the means to very the Appellate Court's filing fee up front, While, Plaintiff has already, repeatedly requested such account statements from this institution and his former institution of Menard Correctional Center (as Plaintiff has not yet been at the current institution for 6-menths), to date, Plaintiff has not received the statements. All Plaintiff can do is to keep making requests, as he has absolutely no central over the distribution of account statements by prison business offices.

The Rule 4(a)(1)(A) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, requires that the notice of appeal must be filed within 30 days of the entry of the judgment or order appealed. See <u>Dame v. State</u> of <u>Wisconsin</u>, 13:7 F.3d 484, 486 n. 1 (7th Cir. 1998)* However, the District Court may, if an appellant shows good cause or excusable neglect, grant on extension of time of 30 days past the rormal appeal period, as long as the motion for an extension of time is filed no later than 30 days ofter expination of the normal appeal period. Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5); see also Harrison v. Dean Witter Reynolds; Inc., 974 F.2d 873, 886 (7th Cir. 1992)

8) Presently, there only remains II days for filing a Plaintiff to file a notice of appeal in the instant matter, at a time when Plaintiff has only most recently been able to obtain all of the information that he needs to begin analyzing the issues presented by the Court's judy-ment order, and at a time when he is still trying to obtain the trust occurred statements which he is required to file along with any prospective notice of appeal. Thus, Plaintiff will be irreparably prejudiced by aforementioned time which elapsed between his actual receipt of the Court's judgment order and his subsequent receipt of the documents and transcripts required to analyze his prospects for appeal, unless this Horable Court grants the relief requested herein.

WHERE FORE, for the good cause shown herein, Plaintiff humbly 8 respectfully reguests that this Herorable Court grant him a 30-

day extension of time to file the notice of appeal in this motter, and for any such further relief that this Court deems just and fair.

Date: December 3, 2018

Kespectfully Submitted, Sl <u>Aallow McD</u> Dallos McIntosh, **B-85114 Pickreyville Correctional Center 5835 State Route 154 Pickreyville, IL 62274

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that an December 3, 2018, I placed the foregoing document into the internal mail system at Pickneyville
Correctional Center, to be forwarded to the Law Library and
electronically filed, using the CM/ECF system, which, in turn,
will send a notification and copy of such filing to all coursels
of record.

S Dallas Mc Intosh B-85114 Pro se Plaintiff) EXHIBIT A"

```
1
                  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
2
3
     DALLAS McINTOSH,
4
                     Plaintiff,
5
                                     ) No. 17-cv-103-JPG-DGW
          VS.
     WEXFORD HEALTH SOURCES, INC.,
6
     et al.,
7
                                     ) August 15, 2018
                     Defendants.
8
9
                   TRANSCRIPT OF EVIDENTIARY HEARING
                BEFORE THE HONORABLE DONALD G. WILKERSON
10
                     UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11
                               APPEARANCES
12
     FOR PLAINTIFF:
                                 Dallas McIntosh, B85114
                                 Pinckneyville Corr. Center
                                 5835 State Route 154
13
                                 Pinckneyville, IL 62274
14
                                 Rodney M. Sharp, Esq.
     FOR DEFENDANTS:
15
                                 Kevin K. Peek, Esq.
                                 Sandberg, Phoenix, et al.
                                 600 Washington Ave., 15th Fl.
16
                                 St. Louis, MO 63101-1313
                                 (314) 231-3332
17
                                 Maxwell D. Huber, Esq.
18
                                 Cassiday Schade LLP
                                 100 N. Broadway, Suite 1580
19
                                 St. Louis, MO 63102
                                 (314) 241-1377
20
                                 Laura A. Esposito, RPR, CRR, CRC
21
     REPORTED BY:
                                 Official Court Reporter
                                 U.S. District Court
22
                                 750 Missouri Avenue
                                 East St. Louis, IL 62201
23
                                 (618) 482-9481
                                 Laura Esposito@ilsd.uscourts.gov
24
25
          Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography;
     transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.
```



SCANNED AT POICKNEYVILLE CO and E-mailed | 14 | 4 | pages | pages | taitiets | No.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS prisoner.esl@ilsd.uscourts.gov

ELECTRONIC FILING COVER SHEET

Please complete this form and include it when submitting any	type of document, letter, pleading, etc. to
the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Illinois for re	eview and filing.

U.S.	District Court for the Southern District of Illinois for review and filing.
	Dallas McIntosh Name B-85/14 ID Number
	Please answer questions as thoroughly as possible and circle yes or no where indicated.
1.	Is this a new civil rights complaint or habeas corpus petition? Yes or
	If this is a habeas case, please circle the related statute: 28 U.S.C. 2241 or 28 U.S.C. 2254
2.	Is this an Amended Complaint or an Amended Habeas Petition? Yes or No
	If yes, please list case number:
	If yes, but you do not know the case number mark here:
3.	Should this document be filed in a pending case? Yes or No
	If yes, please list case number: 17-cv-00103-JPG-DGW
	If yes, but you do not know the case number mark here:
4.	Please list the total number of pages being transmitted:
5.	If multiple documents, please identify each document and the number of pages for each document. For example: Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, 6 pages; Complaint, 28 pages.
0	Name of Document Number of Pages Plaintiff's Notion for Ext. of Number of Pages
	Time to File Notice of Appeal.
	Time to PIE NOTUR OF TYPEST.
	Please note that discovery requests and responses are NOT to be filed, and should be forwarded to the attorney(s) of record. Discovery materials sent to the Court will be returned unfiled.